

Q4 2020 SURVEY AT A GLANCE

No. of Managers Surveyed	Total No. of Portfolios	Aggregate AUM	Median Manager Performance
10	120	ZWL 11.96 Billion	41.84%

Q4 2020 – PERFORMANCE LEADERBOARD

Q4 2020 – Listed Equities

Rank	Manager	Return (%)
1.	ZAM	110.7%
2.	Imara	68.4%
3.	Akribos	66.4%
4.	Purpose	63.0%

Q4 2020 – Balanced Portfolios

Rank	Manager	Return (%)
1.	Platinum	66.4%
2.	Imara	63.9%
3.	ZAM	48.8%
4.	Smartvest	43.3%

Q4 2020 – Alternative Investments

Rank	Manager	Return (%)
1.	Imara	45.6%
2.	Smartvest	30.5%
3.	Platinum	28.9%
4.	ABCAM	11.5%

Q4 2020 – Overall Performance

Rank	Manager	Return (%)
1.	Imara	66.2%
2.	Akribos	65.1%
3.	Purpose	62.9%
4.	ZAM	59.7%
5.	Platinum	45.4%
6.	OMIG	38.3%
7.	Invesci	36.2%
8.	Smartvest	32.3%
9.	ABCAM	21.2%
10.	Datvest	5.7%

Q4 2020 – Composite Allocations


Composite	Allocation (%)
Listed Equities	49.5%
Balanced Portfolios	28.2%
Alternative Investments	22.3%

Industry Performance Highlights: Q4 2020

Q4-2020: Listed Equities Portfolios

- Aggregate Composite Return: 56.9%
- Benchmark Return: 60.9%
- Industry Alpha: -4.0%


Q4 2020: LISTED EQUITIES



Q4-2020: Balanced Portfolios

- Aggregate Composite Return: 39.4%
- Benchmark Return: 20.0%
- Industry Alpha: 19.4%


Q4 2020: BALANCED PORTFOLIOS



Q4-2020: Alternative Investments Portfolios

- Aggregate Composite Return: 17.9%
- Benchmark Return: 12.2%
- Industry Alpha: 5.7%

Q4 2020: ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS



Q4 2020 PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW

The equities market represented by the ZSE All Share index gained 60.9% during the quarter ended 31 December 2020, recovering from the prior quarter losses on renewed demand. All Listed Equities and Balanced Portfolios recorded positive performances largely buoyed by the stock market bull-run. Alternative Investment portfolios registered positive performances driven largely by local currency revaluations of properties and unlisted equities. There were no significant fixed income portfolios of note held by any of the asset managers in this survey for the period.

Q4:2020 Performance Overview

The Q4 2020 Survey focused on Listed Equities, Alternative Investments and Balance Portfolios Composites, with none of the portfolios qualifying under the Fixed Income Composite due to negative real returns in the asset class. ZSE Equities, as represented by the All Share Index, advanced 60.93% during the quarter mainly driven by the large caps as evidenced by the ZSE Top 10 index which advanced by a similar margin. All Managers recorded positive performances due to an impressive performance from listed equities although some underperformed the benchmark due to relatively poor stock selection or a high exposure to foreign equities. Some asset managers benefited from property revaluations, especially those who had pending property revaluations from the prior quarter.

Asset Allocation Based-Composites

Q4 2020 - Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings: Listed Equities*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	ZAM	110.68%	n/a	49.8%	100.0%	107,031,078	5.4%	1
2	Imara	68.43%	52.9% - 75.5%	7.5%	85.0%	1,803,293,034	23.6%	20
3	Akribos	66.37%	n/a	5.4%	100.0%	10,872,708	0.1%	1
4	Purpose	62.96%	n/a	2.0%	100.0%	15,478,634	7.1%	1
5	Platinum	53.72%	n/a	(7.2%)	0.0%	27,973,058	0.5%	1
6	Invesci	52.80%	33.8% - 66.42%	(8.1%)	18.2%	730,820,106	22.5%	11
7	Smartvest	46.63%	n/a	(14.3%)	0.0%	91,200,747	3.9%	1
8	OMIG	40.61%	(0.77%) - 67.92%	(20.3%)	5.6%	2,714,960,216	3.4%	18
9	ABCAM	34.75%	n/a	(26.2%)	0.0%	206,257,636	4.1%	1
10	Datvest	32.50%	13.74% - 56.24%	(28.4%)	0.0%	208,664,878	1.8%	3
Benchmark**		60.93%	Averages / Totals	(4.0%)	40.9%	5,916,552,096		58

*The Listed Equities Composite consists of portfolios for which at least 70% is invested in Listed equities.

**Benchmark is the passive growth ZSE All Share Index.

Q4 2020 - Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings: Balanced Portfolios*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	Platinum	66.4%	29.48% - 70.84%	46.3%	100.0%	156,948,596	3.0%	2
2	Imara	63.9%	60.18% - 68.59%	43.9%	100.0%	206,479,975	2.7%	2
3	ZAM	48.8%	n/a	28.8%	100.0%	163,688,510	8.3%	5
4	Smartvest	43.3%	n/a	23.3%	100.0%	20,855,360	0.9%	1
5	Invesci	34.2%	25.35% - 102.96%	14.2%	100.0%	372,270,737	11.5%	7
6	ABCAM	20.8%	16.09% - 26.95%	0.8%	46.7%	2,085,378,362	41.9%	15
7	Datvest	20.2%	8.16% - 26.23%	0.2%	33.0%	125,800,677	1.1%	2
8	OMIG	17.5%	10.67% - 23.65%	(2.5%)	50.0%	249,926,399	0.3%	3
Benchmark**		20.0%	Averages / Totals	19.4%	78.7%	3,381,348,616		37

*The Balanced Portfolio Composite consists of portfolios where no one asset class accounts for over 70% of the portfolio (50% for alternative investments)

The Benchmark is comprised of: 25% ZSE All Share Index + 25% Ave 90-day TB/BA rate + 25% Ave Return on bonds with PA status + 25% CPI

Q4 2020 - Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings: Alternative Investments Portfolios*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	Imara	45.6%	n/a	33.4%	100.0%	149,289,283	2.0%	1
2	Smartvest	30.5%	27.06% - 43.99%	18.3%	100.0%	861,833,065	36.4%	8
3	Platinum	28.9%	28.40% - 30.49%	16.7%	100.0%	115,240,229	2.2%	2
4	ABCAM	11.5%	11.07% - 11.62%	(0.7%)	0.0%	146,720,469	2.9%	2
5	Invesci	8.4%	0.00% - 13.89%	(3.8%)	25.0%	334,146,537	10.3%	4
6	ZAM	0.4%	n/a	(11.8%)	0.0%	25,300,930	1.3%	1
7	Datvest	0.2%	(7.77%) - 24.39%	(12.0%)	14.3%	1,032,909,060	9.0%	7
Benchmark**		12.2%	Averages / Totals	5.7%	48.5%	2,665,439,573		25

*The Composite consists of portfolios for which at least 50% is invested in non-listed property and private equity and the benchmark is: CPI inflation

Mandate Based-Composites

Few Composites qualify as pure Equities or Alternative Investments, with the majority being Balanced Portfolio Composites based on signed Mandates. This reflects the general bias towards full allocation Managers instead of Specialist Managers in the Zimbabwean investments management industry.

Q4 2020 -Mandate Composite Performance Rankings: Listed Equities*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	Imara	66.9%	60.18% - 75.20%	5.9%	85.7%	439,230,008	5.8%	7
2	Invesci	58.0%	41.68% - 102.96%	(3.0%)	25.0%	347,091,405	10.7%	4
3	Platinum	53.7%	n/a	(7.2%)	0.0%	27,973,058	0.5%	1
4	ABCAM	34.8%	n/a	(26.2%)	0.0%	206,257,636	4.1%	1
5	OMIG	33.3%	n/a	(27.7%)	0.0%	80,058,719	0.1%	1
Benchmark**		60.9%	Averages / Totals	(11.6%)	22.1%	1,100,610,825		14

*The Composite consists of portfolios for which at least 70% is invested in Listed equities.

**Benchmark is the ZSE All Share Index passive growth.

Q4 2020 -Mandate Composite Performance Rankings: Balanced Portfolios*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	ZAM	69.5%	41.27% - 110.7%	49.5%	100.0%	270,719,589	13.8%	6
2	Akribos	65.1%	n/a	45.0%	100.0%	10,872,708	0.1%	1
3	Imara	63.6%	45.61% - 75.50%	43.5%	100.0%	1,097,846,523	14.4%	13
4	Purpose	63.0%	n/a	42.9%	100.0%	15,478,634	7.1%	1
5	Platinum	49.4%	28.40% - 70.84%	29.4%	100.0%	272,188,825	5.2%	4
6	OMIG	38.5%	(0.77%) - 67.92%	18.4%	94.4%	2,884,827,897	3.6%	20
7	Invesci	35.2%	11.94% - 66.42%	15.1%	80.0%	965,329,950	29.7%	15
8	Smartvest	32.9%	27.06% - 46.63%	12.8%	100.0%	861,849,927	36.4%	9
9	ABCAM	20.6%	11.07% - 26.95%	0.6%	43.8%	2,119,840,602	42.6%	16
10	Datvest	4.6%	(7.77%) - 56.24%	(15.5%)	33.3%	1,062,319,313	9.3%	9
Benchmark**		20.0%	Averages / Totals	24.2%	85.2%	9,561,273,967		94

*The Balanced Portfolio Composite consists of portfolios where no one asset class accounts for over 70% of the portfolio (50% for alternative investments)

The Benchmark is: 25% ZSE All Share Index + 25% Ave 90-day TB/BA rate + 25% Ave Return on bonds with PA status + 25% CPI

Q4 2020 -Mandate Composite Performance Rankings: Alternative Investments Portfolios*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	ZAM	0.4%	n/a	(11.8%)	0.0%	25,300,930	1.3%	1
2	Datvest	0.2%	(0.59%) - 3.37%	(12.0%)	0.0%	163,193,383	1.4%	2
3	Invesci	0.0%	n/a	(12.2%)	0.0%	101,296,973	3.1%	2
Benchmark**		12.2%	Averages / Totals	(12.0%)	0.0%	289,791,287		5

*The Composite consists of portfolios for which at least 50% is invested in non-listed property and private equity and the benchmark is: CPI inflation

Q4 2020 - Aggregated Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings

Rank	Asset Manager	Aggr. Portfolio Return (Asset Class-weighted)	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Number of Portfolios Managed
1	Imara	66.23%	2,159,062,292	28.3%	23
2	Akribos	65.08%	10,872,708	0.1%	1
3	Purpose	62.96%	15,478,634	7.1%	1
4	ZAM	59.70%	296,020,519	15.0%	7
5	Platinum	45.36%	300,161,883	5.8%	5
6	OMIG	38.32%	2,964,886,615	3.7%	21
7	Invesci	36.18%	1,437,237,380	44.2%	22
8	Smartvest	32.26%	973,889,172	41.2%	10
9	ABCAM	21.24%	2,438,356,467	49.0%	18
10	Datvest	5.67%	1,367,374,615	12.0%	12
Averages / Totals		43.3%	11,963,340,285		120

FY 2020 Performance Overview

Although all Managers performed in the positive for the full year (FY20), most asset managers under-performed the listed equities benchmark. This was due to relatively high exposure to “blue chips” which underperformed the All Share index. The Managers, however, outperformed the balanced composite benchmark because of low exposure to the underperforming fixed income. On alternative investment portfolios, most asset manager portfolios outperformed the benchmark attributable to property revaluations.

Asset Allocation Based-Composites

FY 2020 - Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings: Listed Equities*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	Platinum	1312.4%	n/a	266.6%	100.0%	27,973,058	0.5%	1
2	Smartvest	794.9%	n/a	(251.0%)	0.0%	91,200,747	3.9%	1
3	ABCAM	779.2%	n/a	(266.6%)	0.0%	206,257,636	4.1%	1
4	Purpose	763.4%	n/a	(282.5%)	0.0%	15,478,634	7.1%	1
5	Akribos	712.8%	n/a	(333.0%)	0.0%	10,872,708	0.1%	1
6	Datvest	681.8%	424.59% - 798.09%	(364.0%)	0.0%	208,664,878	1.8%	3
7	Imara	679.8%	578.30% - 817.90%	(366.0%)	0.0%	1,803,293,034	23.6%	20
8	Invesci	651.4%	316.35% - 975.55%	(394.4%)	0.0%	730,820,106	22.5%	11
9	ZAM	627.9%	n/a	(418.0%)	0.0%	107,031,078	5.4%	1
10	OMIG	523.5%	318.37%-570.84%	(522.3%)	0.0%	2,714,960,216	3.4%	18
Benchmark**		1045.8%	Averages / Totals	(293.1%)	10.0%	5,916,552,096		58

*The Composite consists of portfolios for which at least 70% is invested in Listed equities.

**Benchmark is the ZSE All Share Index passive growth.

FY 2020 - Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings: Balanced Portfolios*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	Platinum	669.4%	493.30% - 690.80%	313.8%	100.0%	156,948,596	3.0%	2
2	Imara	625.9%	622.30% - 629.10%	270.3%	100.0%	206,479,975	2.7%	2
3	ABCAM	606.5%	509.63% - 717.25%	250.8%	100.0%	2,085,378,362	41.9%	15
4	ZAM	544.0%	487.12% - 574.28%	188.4%	100.0%	163,688,510	8.3%	5
5	Smartvest	495.9%	n/a	140.3%	100.0%	20,855,360	0.9%	1
6	Datvest	453.4%	432.25% - 587.65%	97.8%	100.0%	125,800,677	1.1%	2
7	Invesci	451.2%	391.99% - 721.45%	95.6%	100.0%	372,270,737	11.5%	7
8	OMIG	361.4%	358.06% - 452.37%	5.8%	50.0%	249,926,399	0.3%	3
Benchmark**		355.6%	Averages / Totals	170.4%	93.8%	3,381,348,616		37

*The Balanced Portfolio Composite consists of portfolios where no one asset class accounts for over 70% of the portfolio (50% for alternative investments)

The Benchmark is: 25% ZSE All Share Index + 25% Ave 90-day TB/BA rate + 25% Ave Return on bonds with PA status + 25% CPI

FY 2020 - Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings: Alternative Investments Portfolios*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	ABCAM	498.7%	461.42% - 504.57%	150.1%	100.0%	146,720,469	2.9%	2
2	Imara	397.8%	n/a	49.3%	100.0%	149,289,283	2.0%	1
3	Platinum	397.1%	362.29% - 403.46%	48.5%	100.0%	115,240,229	2.2%	2
4	ZAM	382.2%	n/a	33.6%	100.0%	25,300,930	1.3%	1
5	Smartvest	361.1%	160.45% - 483.89%	12.6%	86.0%	861,833,065	36.4%	8
6	Datvest	354.0%	191.23% - 721.06%	5.5%	67.0%	1,032,909,060	9.0%	7
7	Invesci	215.9%	167.09% - 254.39%	(132.7%)	0.0%	334,146,537	10.3%	4
8								
Benchmark**		348.6%	Averages / Totals	23.8%	79.0%	2,665,439,573		25

*The Composite consists of portfolios for which at least 50% is invested in non-listed property and private equity and the benchmark is: CPI inflation

Mandate Based-Composites

FY 2020 -Mandate Composite Performance Rankings: Listed Equities*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Bating Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	Platinum	1312.4%	n/a	266.6%	100.0%	27,973,058	0.5%	1
2	Invesci	880.9%	721.45% - 975.55%	(164.9%)	0.0%	347,091,405	10.7%	4
3	ABCAM	779.2%	721.45% - 975.55%	(266.6%)	0.0%	206,257,636	4.1%	1
4	Imara	628.0%	578.30% - 788.60%	(417.9%)	0.0%	439,230,008	5.8%	7
5	OMIG	478.3%	n/a	(567.6%)	0.0%	80,058,719	0.1%	1
Benchmark**		1045.8%	Averages / Totals	(230.1%)	20.0%	1,100,610,825		14

*The Composite consists of portfolios for which at least 70% is invested in Listed equities.

**Benchmark is the ZSE All Share Index passive growth.

FY 2020 -Mandate Composite Performance Rankings: Balanced Portfolios*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Bating Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	Purpose	763.4%	n/a	407.7%	100.0%	15,478,634	7.1%	1
2	Akribos	723.4%	n/a	367.8%	100.0%	10,872,708	0.1%	1
3	Imara	643.5%	397.68% - 817.90%	287.9%	100.0%	1,167,352,302	15.3%	13
4	ABCAM	600.4%	461.42% - 717.25%	244.7%	100.0%	2,047,016,932	41.1%	16
5	ZAM	584.9%	487.12% - 625.69%	229.3%	100.0%	270,719,589	13.8%	6
6	Platinum	527.4%	362.29% - 690.80%	171.8%	100.0%	272,188,825	5.2%	4
7	OMIG	502.8%	318.37% - 690.80%	147.2%	100.0%	2,884,827,897	3.6%	20
8	Datvest	461.9%	221.68% - 798.09%	106.3%	78.0%	940,933,270	8.2%	9
9	Invesci	416.4%	229.86% - 880.02%	60.8%	80.0%	965,329,950	29.7%	15
10	Smartvest	414.1%	302.94% - 794.85%	58.5%	78.0%	861,849,927	36.4%	9
Benchmark**		355.6%	Averages / Totals	208.2%	93.6%	9,436,570,033		94

*The Balanced Portfolio Composite consists of portfolios where no one asset class accounts for over 70% of the portfolio (50% for alternative investments)

The Benchmark is: 25% ZSE All Share Index + 25% Ave 90-day TB/BA rate + 25% Ave Return on bonds with PA status + 25% CPI

FY 2020 -Mandate Composite Performance Rankings: Alternative Investments Portfolios*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Bating Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	Datvest	567.6%	369.60% - 582.13%	219.1%	100.0%	163,193,383	1.4%	2
2	ZAM	382.2%	n/a	33.6%	100.0%	25,300,930	1.3%	1
3	Invesci	169.1%	167.09% - 174.59%	(179.5%)	0.0%	101,296,973	3.1%	2
Benchmark**		348.6%	Averages / Totals	24.4%	66.7%	289,791,287		5

*The Composite consists of portfolios for which at least 50% is invested in non-listed property and private equity and the benchmark is: CPI inflation

FY 2020 - Aggregated Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings

Rank	Asset Manager	Aggr. Portfolio Return (Asset Class-weighted)	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Number of Portfolios Managed
1	Purpose	763.4%	15,478,634	0.1%	1
2	Akribos	712.8%	10,872,708	0.1%	1
3	Imara	655.2%	2,159,062,292	18.0%	23
4	Platinum	624.8%	300,161,883	2.5%	5
5	ABCAM	614.6%	2,438,356,467	20.4%	18
6	ZAM	560.5%	296,020,519	2.5%	7
7	OMIG	509.8%	2,964,886,615	24.8%	21
8	Invesci	498.3%	1,437,237,380	12.0%	22
9	Datvest	413.2%	1,367,374,615	11.4%	12
10	Smartvest	404.6%	973,889,172	8.1%	10
Averages / Totals		575.7%	11,963,340,285	100.0%	120

Rolling 3-Year Performance Overview

No portfolios qualified for the Fixed Income Composite due to negative real returns. 2020 Performances had greater impact on cumulative performances due to the stock market bull-run. Property revaluations in local currency at the interbank rate enhanced portfolio performances, especially for Alternative Investment Portfolios and Balanced Portfolios.

Asset Allocation Based-Composites

Rolling 3-Year - Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings: Listed Equities*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	Invesci	2633.9%	1822.56% - 3074.17%	97.6%	66.7%	322,805,578	9.9%	6
2	OMIG	2311.8%	1562.19% - 3720.27%	(224.5%)	13.3%	951,301,437	1.2%	15
3	Akribos	2028.3%	n/a	(508.0%)	0.0%	10,872,708	0.1%	1
4	Imara	1979.7%	1449.18% - 2380.70%	(556.6%)	0.0%	1,079,330,957	14.1%	12
5	Datvest	1718.7%	1294.25% - 2191.57%	(817.6%)	0.0%	208,664,878	1.8%	3
6	ZAM	1458.9%	n/a	(1077.4%)	0.0%	107,031,078	5.4%	1
7	Purpose	1037.1%	n/a	(1499.2%)	0.0%	15,478,634	7.1%	1
Benchmark**		2536.3%	Averages / Totals	(655.1%)	11.4%	2,695,485,271		39

*The Composite consists of portfolios for which at least 70% is invested in Listed equities.

**Benchmark is the ZSE All Share Index passive growth.

Rolling 3-Year - Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings: Balanced Portfolios*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	Platinum	3901.8%	n/a	2289.0%	100.0%	141,210,991	2.7%	1
2	ABCAM	3619.2%	2883.35% - 4144.47%	2006.4%	100.0%	1,940,259,114	39.0%	13
3	Smartvest	2681.0%	n/a	1068.2%	100.0%	20,855,360	0.9%	1
4	Imara	2404.4%	2184.45% - 2586.17%	791.6%	100.0%	206,479,975	2.7%	2
5	OMIG	2200.7%	n/a	587.9%	33.0%	96,269,262	0.1%	1
6	Invesci	2132.5%	1551.96% - 2616.01%	519.7%	80.0%	336,976,594	10.4%	5
7	ZAM	1921.1%	1736.17% - 2612.34%	308.3%	100.0%	163,688,510	8.3%	5
8	Datvest	1670.4%	1496.47% - 1809.18%	57.6%	50.0%	125,800,677	1.1%	2
Benchmark**		1612.8%	Averages / Totals	953.6%	82.9%	3,031,540,484		30

*The Balanced Portfolio Composite consists of portfolios where no one asset class accounts for over 70% of the portfolio (50% for alternative investments)

The Benchmark is: 25% ZSE All Share Index + 25% Ave 90-day TB/BA rate + 25% Ave Return on bonds with PA status + 25% CPI

Rolling 3-Year - Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings: Alternative Investments Portfolios*

Rank	Asset Manager	Performance	Performance Range	Excess Returns (Alpha)	Relative Batting Average	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Portfolios
1	ABCAM	3853.1%	n/a	(5.8%)	0.0%	29,643,878	0.6%	1
2	Imara	3481.0%	n/a	(377.8%)	0.0%	149,289,283	2.0%	1
3	Invesci	3469.9%	2887.08% - 5430.97%	(388.9%)	50.0%	334,146,537	10.3%	4
4	Smartvest	3403.0%	3307.30% - 3665.85%	(455.9%)	0.0%	351,571,490	14.9%	4
5	Datvest	2035.0%	1163.11% - 7089.75%	(1823.9%)	17.0%	998,277,562	8.7%	6
Benchmark**		3858.9%	Averages / Totals	(610.4%)	13.4%	1,862,928,749		16

*The Composite consists of portfolios for which at least 50% is invested in non-listed property and private equity and the benchmark is: CPI inflation

Rolling 3-Year - Aggregated Asset Class Composite Performance Rankings

Rank	Asset Manager	Aggr. Portfolio Return (Asset Class-weighted)	AUM (ZWL)	% of Total Firm Assets	Number of Portfolios Managed
1	Platinum	3901.8%	141,210,991	1.9%	1
2	ABCAM	3656.5%	1,969,902,992	26.0%	14
3	Invesci	2701.3%	993,928,709	13.1%	15
4	Smartvest	2418.8%	372,426,849	4.9%	5
5	OMIG	2306.4%	1,047,570,699	13.8%	16
6	Imara	2130.2%	1,435,100,215	18.9%	15
7	Akribos	2028.3%	10,872,708	0.1%	1
8	Datvest	1869.5%	1,332,743,117	17.6%	11
9	ZAM	1687.6%	270,719,589	3.6%	6
10	Purpose	1037.1%	15,478,634	0.2%	1
Averages / Totals		2373.8%	7,589,954,503	100.0%	85

Aggregated Historical Performance

Asset Manager	4Q20			FY2020			FY2019			FY2018			FY2017		
	Overall Performance	AUM	No. of Portfolios	Overall Performance	AUM	No. of Portfolios	Overall Performance	AUM	No. of Portfolios	Overall Performance	AUM	No. of Portfolios	Overall Performance	AUM	No. of Portfolios
ABCAM	21.2%	2,438,356,467	18	779.2%	2,438,356,467	18	218.1%	347,065,887	17	52.3%	108,237,227	14	70.2%	44,279,011	10
Akribos	65.1%	10,872,708	1	712.8%	10,872,708	1	41.2%	2,130,971	1	81.7%	914,457	1	29.6%	693,548	1
Datvest	5.7%	1,367,374,615	12	681.8%	1,367,374,615	12	167.1%	243,864,687	14	43.7%	65,029,607	12	57.8%	40,688,454	10
Imara	66.2%	2,159,062,292	23	679.8%	2,159,062,292	23	102.9%	329,959,992	17	49.4%	120,797,227	15	138.8%	78,446,252	12
Invesci	36.2%	1,437,237,380	22	651.4%	1,437,237,380	22	269.8%	246,409,565	17	60.0%	56,073,421	13	60.7%	28,237,814	5
OMIG	38.3%	2,964,886,615	21	523.5%	2,964,886,615	21	137.8%	431,270,732	15	65.3%	174,420,508	16	65.5%	96,977,220	12
Platinum	45.4%	300,161,883	5	1312.4%	300,161,883	5	332.1%	53,443,696	5	51.9%	11,995,644	2	81.1%	3,219,657	1
Purpose	63.0%	15,478,634	1	763.4%	15,478,634	1	44.3%	1,788,513	1	14.0%	1,247,797	2	85.2%	1,008,952	1
Smartvest	32.3%	973,889,172	10	794.9%	973,889,172	10	476.7%	182,646,214	8	31.6%	19,068,741	6	50.5%	6,537,862	4
ZAM	59.7%	296,020,519	7	627.9%	296,020,519	7	75.9%	44,622,377	5	45.7%	21,722,169	6	81.9%	15,766,615	5
Aggregate	36.2%	11,963,340,285	120	682.3%	11,963,340,285	120	204.3%	1,883,202,635	100	54.4%	579,506,799	87	83.6%	315,855,385	61

NB: Aggregate industry-wide performances are AUM-weighted sums for the relevant period. E.g. for FY2020, the aggregate performance of 682.3% is an AUM-weighted sum of each Manager's performance.

Glossary

Aggregate Composite Return/ Performance – The weighted average return of all composites involved in the survey.

Alpha (Excess Return) – The return achieved above the benchmark return.

Alternative Investment Portfolios – Portfolios for which at least 50% is invested in non-listed property and unlisted equities or other alternative investments.

Assets Allocation Based Composites – Are composites based on the actual exposures held by an asset manager i.e. the actual holdings.

Asset Class – Is a group of assets that exhibit similar characteristics.

Assets Under Management (AUM) – The total market value of assets/ portfolios held in a particular composite.

Balanced Portfolios – Portfolios which are balanced such that no one asset class accounts for over 70% of the portfolio (with exception of alternative investments where a minimum exposure of 50% applies).

Benchmark – Is the reference point for performance evaluation.

Benchmark Returns – A point of reference against which the composite's performance and/or risk is compared

Composites – An aggregation of one or more portfolios managed according to a similar investment mandate, objective, or strategy.

Fixed Income Portfolios – Portfolios for which at least 70% is invested in the money market, bonds and/or any other interest-bearing securities.

Industry Alpha – The return achieved above the benchmark return, where *industry* refers to the asset managers in the Intellego Survey and limited to the portfolios within the Intellego purview.

Listed Equities Portfolios – Portfolios for which at least 70% is invested in equities listed on the Zimbabwe Stock Exchange (ZSE) or any licensed securities exchange or Alternative Trading Platform (ATP).

Mandate Based Composites – Are composites based on the investment manager mandate signed by the asset manager, where the target benchmark was clearly set in advance.

Performance range – The minimum and maximum returns achieved by the asset manager in the period.

Relative Batting Average – Measures the proportion of asset manager portfolios that outperformed the benchmark in a particular period.

Total Firm Assets – The total market value of all assets held by the asset manager (including the ones not under Intellego)

ABOUT THE SURVEY

The Intellego Investment Manager Survey Report covers investment performances of the Investment Managers based on the institutional portfolios under their management and for which Intellego are the Investment Advisors. Where an Investment Manager had indicated willingness to have portfolios not advised by Intellego included, this will only be done on a firm wide basis on condition that Intellego shall have access to and independently calculate the returns of such portfolios. The performance figures are based on returns calculated by Intellego and Intellego takes full responsibility for the accuracy or otherwise of the numbers. The Composites are as defined by Intellego based on the general investment strategies and asset classes available in Zimbabwe. Each qualifying portfolio is included in a composite on the basis of its beginning of period asset allocation. The Policies related to Composite construction, calculation methodology and reporting of the Survey are available upon request from Intellego Investment Consultants (Ltd). Intellego reserves the rights to amend the Survey as it considers appropriate.

Commentary contained within this document is for informational purposes only, and is not intended as an offer or recommendation with respect to the purchase or sale of any security, option, future or other derivatives in such securities. Investing in involves risk, including possible loss of principal. There is no assurance that the investment objective of any investor will be achieved. Equity stocks of small-cap companies generally carry greater risk and more volatility, and may be more illiquid, than equity stocks of larger, more established companies. Investors should carefully consider an investment managers' philosophy style, fees, charges and expenses before investing any money.